Application No.: Amendment Dated: Reply to Office Action of: 09/673,422 March 10, 2006 December 13, 2005

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 6-13 and 15-21 are pending. Claims 6, 11-13 and 15-21 have been allowed. Claims 7-10 are rejected.

Section 101 Rejection

<u>Claim 10</u> is rejected because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. As suggested by the Examiner, Applicants have now amended claim 10 to recite that the collection of information is stored on a computer or on a computer readable medium.

Section 102 Rejections

<u>Claims 7-10</u> have been rejected as being anticipated by Miyazaki. Applicants respectfully submit that these rejections are overcome for the reasons set forth below.

Amended <u>claim 7</u> now includes features which are not suggested by the cited reference, namely:

 the information concerning said application software is information indicating at least the type of a tamper resistance verification function.

Basis for amended claim 7 may be found, for example, in now cancelled claim 8. One of the features of claim 8 includes information concerning the application software. One of the information indicates at least the type of a tamper resistance verification function. This feature has now been incorporated into claim 7. Claim 8 has now been cancelled.

Miyazaki discloses a computer that sends data to an application section by embedding information of the application software residing in the application software section. Miyazaki, however, does **not** disclose that the data information

Application No.: Amendment Dated: Reply to Office Action of: 09/673,422 March 10, 2006 December 13, 2005

includes information regarding the application software as indicating at least the type of a tamper resistance verification function. Miyazaki, at column 8, lines 43-64, describes Figure 6. Figure 6 shows various contents of data. However, these contents do not include information concerning the application software as indicating at least the type of a tamper resistance verification function. Favorable reconsideration is requested for amended claim 7.

<u>Claims 9 and 10</u> have been amended so that they no longer depend from now cancelled claim 8. Amended claims 9 and 10 are now also in condition for allowance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 11-13 and 15-21 have been allowed.

Conclusion

Claims 6-7, 9-13 and 15-21 are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel N. Calder, Reg. \ Jankovitz, Reg.

Attorneys for Applicants

JJJ/fp/ds

Dated:

March 10, 2006

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980 (610) 407-0700

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge payment to Deposit Account No. **18-0350** of any fees associated with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

March 10, 2006

Deborah Spratt

FP_I:\MTS\3221US\AMEND03.DOC